REMARKS

Claims 1-23 are rejected. Claims 1-23 are pending. Applicants respectfully request further examination and reconsideration in view of the remarks set forth below. Applicants believe that the amendments herein to the patent application do not add new matter to it.

35 U.S.C. §103 Rejections

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Hashimoto et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,983,374 (hereinafter Hashimoto), and further in view of Ellison et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,082,615 (hereinafter Ellison).

Claims 1-11

Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner to amended independent Claim 1 (emphasis added):

A memory architecture, comprising:

an unprotected memory space configured to store encrypted information, said encrypted information corresponding to a plain text version thereof;

a message digest corresponding to said encrypted information;

a first protected memory space configured to store at least a subset of operating system instructions; and

a second protected memory space configured to store said plain text version of said encrypted information;

wherein said operating system instructions in said first protected memory space operate on said plain text version of said encrypted information in said second protected memory space;

wherein a random access memory comprises said unprotected memory space, said first protected memory space, and said second protected memory space.

Applicants respectfully contend that Hashimoto and Ellison, alone or in combination, fails to teach or suggest the above recited combination of elements as recited in amended independent Claim 1. For example, Applicants respectfully assert that Hashimoto and Ellison, alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest "wherein a random access memory comprises said unprotected memory space, said first protected

Examiner: Truong, Thanhnga B. Art Unit: 2135

Appl. No.: 10/719,879

memory space, and said second protected memory space" as recited in amended independent Claim 1. Therefore, since Hashimoto and Ellison, alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest elements as recited in amended independent Claim 1, Applicants respectfully submit that amended independent Claim 1 overcomes the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), and is thus in condition for allowance.

Applicants respectfully point out that Claims 2-11 depend from allowable amended independent Claim 1 and recite further patentable subject matter. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 2-11 overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), and are thus in condition for allowance for at least being dependent on an allowable base claim.

Claims 12-16

Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner to amended independent Claim 12 (emphasis added):

A system for operating on encrypted information, comprising:

a processor; and

a memory architecture of comprising:

an unprotected memory space configured to store encrypted information, said encrypted information corresponding to a plain text version thereof;

a message digest corresponding to said encrypted information:

a first protected memory space configured to store at least a subset of operating system instructions; and

a second protected memory space configured to store said plain text version of said encrypted information, wherein said operating system instructions in said first protected memory space operate on said plain text version of said encrypted information in said second protected memory space;

wherein said processor is configured to execute said operating system instructions:

wherein a hard drive comprises said unprotected memory space, said first protected memory space, and said second protected memory space.

Applicants respectfully contend that Hashimoto and Ellison, alone or in combination, fails to teach or suggest the above recited combination of elements as recited in

Examiner: Truong, Thanhnga B.

Appl. No.: 10/719,879 Art Unit: 2135 8 of 11 TRAN-P185 amended independent Claim 12. For example, Applicants respectfully assert that Hashimoto and Ellison, alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest "wherein a hard drive comprises said unprotected memory space, said first protected memory space, and said second protected memory space" as recited in amended independent Claim 12. Therefore, since Hashimoto and Ellison, alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest elements as recited in amended independent Claim 12, Applicants respectfully submit that amended independent Claim 12 overcomes the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), and is thus in condition for allowance.

Applicants respectfully point out that Claims 13-16 depend from allowable amended independent Claim 12 and recite further patentable subject matter. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 13-16 overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), and are thus in condition for allowance for at least being dependent on an allowable base claim.

Claims 17-22

Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner to amended independent Claim 17 (emphasis added):

A method of operating on encrypted information, comprising:

- transferring said encrypted information to a first protected memory address inaccessible to a user-accessible software program, but accessible to an operating system instruction set;
- if said encrypted information comprises encrypted information, decrypting said encrypted information to form a decrypted version of said encrypted information, said decrypting comprises a message digest; and
- storing said first protected memory address in a second protected memory address inaccessible to a user-accessible software program, but accessible to an operating system instruction set, wherein said second protected memory address is linked to an original location of said encrypted information;
- wherein a detachable electronically erasable and programmable memory comprises said first protected memory address and said second protected memory address.

Examiner: Truong, Thanhnga B. Art Unit: 2135

Appl. No.: 10/719,879 TRAN-P185 Applicants respectfully contend that Hashimoto and Ellison, alone or in combination, fails to teach or suggest the above recited combination of elements as recited in amended independent Claim 17. For example, Applicants respectfully assert that Hashimoto and Ellison, alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest "wherein a detachable electronically erasable and programmable memory comprises said first protected memory address and said second protected memory address" as recited in amended independent Claim 17. Therefore, since Hashimoto and Ellison, alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest elements as recited in amended independent Claim 17, Applicants respectfully submit that amended independent Claim 17 overcomes the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), and is thus in condition for allowance.

Applicants respectfully point out that Claims 18-22 depend from allowable amended independent Claim 17 and recite further patentable subject matter. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 18-22 overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), and are thus in condition for allowance for at least being dependent on an allowable base claim.

Claim 23

Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner to amended independent Claim 23 (emphasis added):

A system for hiding information, comprising:
one or more units of information to be hidden;
a unique identifier for each of said units of information;
one or more tools configured to hide each of the units of information at a
known location in protected memory that comprises ROM; and
a second location in protected memory for storing each of said unique
identifiers and a corresponding known location in protected memory
where the corresponding unit of information is hidden;
wherein an optical data storage medium comprises said protected
memory, a second location in protected memory, and a
corresponding known location in protected memory.

Applicants respectfully contend that Hashimoto and Ellison, alone or in combination, fails to teach or suggest the above recited combination of elements as recited in

Examiner: Truong, Thanhnga B. Art Unit: 2135

amended independent Claim 23. For example, Applicants respectfully assert that Hashimoto and Ellison, alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest "wherein an optical data storage medium comprises said protected memory, a second location in protected memory, and a corresponding known location in protected memory" as recited in amended independent Claim 23. Therefore, since Hashimoto and Ellison, alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest elements as recited in amended independent Claim 23, Applicants respectfully submit that amended independent Claim 23 overcomes the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), and is thus in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

For all the reasons advanced above, Applicants respectfully submit that pending Claims 1-23 are in condition for allowance and that action is respectfully solicited.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present application.

Please charge any required fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account Number: 50-4160.

Respectfully submitted,

MURABITO, HAO & BARNES LLP

Dated: JAN. 28, 2008

Thomas M. Catale

Registration No.: 46,434

MURABITO, HAO & BARNES LLP

Two North Market Street, Third Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Telephone: (408) 938-9060

Facsimile: (408) 938-9069

Examiner: Truong, Thanhnga B. Art Unit: 2135

11 of 11